

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

- P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/710,864	08/09/2004	Gerard James Hayes	U04.0063.090	7977
24239 75	90 06/13/2006		EXAM	INER
MOORE & VAN ALLEN PLLC			XU, LING X	
P.O. BOX 13706 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1775	
			DATE MAILED: 06/13/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/710,864	HAYES, GERARD JAMES					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Ling X. Xu	1775					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT atute, cause the application to become ABA	CATION. ply be timely filed I'HS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24	4 May 2006.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ T	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 12-22 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-22</u> is/are rejected.	•						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 24 May 2006 is/are:	a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ object	ted to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		• •					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the con							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forea) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).					
1. Certified copies of the priority docume							
2. Certified copies of the priority docume	•	·					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p	<u> </u>	received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bur	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ranais and					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not f	eceived.					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-1							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Art Unit: 1775

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 12-16 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The claims contains subject matter "a non-ferrous metallic layer" which was not sufficiently described and supported in the specification. The specification only describes a metallic layer, which does not exclude the ferrous metallic layer. Although the examples of the metallic layer disclosed in the specification do not include ferrous metal, the lack of disclosure of a particular material in the specification is not support for excluding that material. Ex parte Grasselli, 231 USPQ 393.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1775

Claims 12, 14-15, 17, 19-20 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Daniels (US 5,335,366).

Daniels discloses a mobile phone such as cellular phone comprising a radiation shield disposed between a radiation component and a user to shield an internal electromagnetic wave transmitter or other portions contain electromagnetic wave radiation sources (col. 7, lines 35-67). The radiation shield comprising a substrate such as polymer or fiber glass having a radiation reflector may be made of aluminum or other metal (col. 9, lines 45-65). The radiation shield is considered to be the impedance layer since it comprises the same non-ferrous metal. The substrate is considered to be the resistive layer since it comprises polymer or fiber glass.

3. Claims 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Berger et al (US 2003/0040345).

With respect to claim 17, Berger discloses a magnetically absorptive material layer comprising ferrite dispersed in ceramic or rubber medium (page 3, [0034]-[0036]). The magnetically absorptive material layer is considered to be the resistive layer because of the ceramic or rubber medium.

With respect to claims 18-21, Berger disclosed that magnetically absorptive material is located within the telephone cell, which is a hard plastic shell (page 4, [0048-0049]). The magnetically absorptive material layer can be sandwiched between layers of materials such as silicon rubber or hard plastic (page 4, [0049]). Both silicon rubber and hard plastic are dielectric substrates layers. Accordingly, the magnetically absorptive material layer is buried between a

Art Unit: 1775

pair of dielectric substrates and is coupled to the inner surface of the top substrate and outer surface of the bottom substrate.

Since Berger discloses the magnetically absorptive material layer has the same structure as claimed, the same magnetically absorptive material layer would also have the same functions and properties as recited in claim 6 such as attenuating the electro-magnetic waves and reducing the effect of the electro-magnetic waves.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed on 5/24/2006 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 1775

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ling X. Xu whose telephone number is 571-272-1546. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 - 4:30 Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jennifer C. McNeil can be reached on 571-272-1540. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Ling X. Xu Primary Exam

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1775